

Note: The comments for this application reflect the peer review comments of two peer reviewers.

Reviewer Checklist
OJJDP FY 2007 National Juvenile Justice Programs

Applicant Name: Best Friends Foundation

GMS Application Number: 2007-51679-DC-JL

Reviewer 1

This checklist is designed to assist the reviewer in identifying and evaluating the requirements and selection criteria while maintaining internal consistency in scoring.

OJP000004095

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	NOTES
<p>1. Statement of the Problem/Program Narrative (20 points) The applicant includes the following:</p> <p>a) Clear description of the need for applicant's project.</p> <p>b) How funding would advance juvenile justice, child protection, and/or delinquency prevention by expanding the knowledge base of juvenile justice and child protection practices and demonstrating practical implications for juvenile justice and child protection policy and practice.</p> <p>c) Statement whether intent is to use an innovative approach or to advance present practice.</p>	13	The problem presents a broad discussion of risk behaviors such as drug and alcohol use, gang involvement, violence, teen pregnancy and school dropout rates and how proposed funding will continue present practices. Slightly over the 25 page limit.
<p>2. Impact/Outcomes and Evaluation (20 points) The applicant includes the following:</p> <p>a) Description of goals of the proposed project and identification of its objectives.</p> <p>b) Broad statement, written in general terms, conveying the program's intent to change, reduce or eliminate the problem described.</p> <p>c) Explanation of how the program will accomplish its goals. Objectives should be specific, quantifiable statements of the desired results of the program, clearly connected to the problems identified in the preceding section.</p>	18	Overall goal is clear. Objectives are not quantifiable. Performance measures consist of questions based on an existing instrument.

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	NOTES
<p>3. Project/Program Design and Implementation (30 points) The applicant includes the following:</p> <p>a) Description of specific strategies that will be used to implement the proposed program. Design elements should follow directly from the project's goals and objectives.</p> <p>b) Program design facilitates the gathering of data on the required performance measures.</p> <p>c) Description of how project will operate throughout the duration of the funding period. Plans for sustainability, how the program will continue to operate beyond the OJJDP grant award period, must be discussed.</p> <p>d) Inclusion of a logic model that graphically illustrates how the chosen performance measures are related to the specific problems, goals, and program activities.</p> <p>e) Timeline that indicates major tasks in the proposed design, assigns responsibility for each major task, and plots completion of these tasks by month or quarter for the period of award. Timeline should be submitted as a separate attachment.</p>	20	<p>Six sites are identified for replication through proposed funding, but the sites are already in varying degrees of operation. Strategies are too broadly defined. There is insufficient discussion regarding specific design and implementation approaches and timelines. Pg. 8 says DC DGL/BML is separately funded, yet the primary discussion centers on the DC site. Pg. 18 references DC and 6 other sites for continuation funding.</p> <p>The narrative does not discuss how youth are selected, how relationships with schools and communities are brokered, how sites were selected or how they will be monitored. It is not clear what purpose the curriculum serves and how behavioral changes are recorded and qualified.</p>
<p>4. Capabilities/Competencies (20 points) The applicant includes the following:</p> <p>a) Demonstration of the organizational capacity to complete the work described in the preceding section in an efficient and cost-effective manner.</p> <p>b) Demonstration of the applicant's knowledge and experience related to juvenile justice and delinquency prevention.</p> <p>c) Description of the roles and responsibilities of project staff and explanation of the program's organizational structure and operations. Ensure that tasks are adequately staffed. If additional staff will be hired for the project, applicant should identify selection criteria.</p> <p>d) Resumes of key personnel are provided.</p> <p>e) Applicant must provide evidence of eligibility, as described in solicitation.</p>	18	<p>The applicant has long experience conducting this initiative, but does not provide evidence of successful implementation other than anecdotal references to replicating successes in DC. Applicant states that previous federal funding has been received from OJJDP and SAMSHA.</p> <p>The application does not include a discussion on how funds to the sites will be managed, e.g., will there be a MOU, a contractual performance based agreement...</p>

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	NOTES
5. Budget (10 points) The applicant includes the following:	7	Estimated funding on the 424 is \$600K. However, the budget narrative shows \$600K/year X five years. The budget detail worksheet shows total budget costs at \$599,981. Details for the Supplies and Other categories are shown in the national replication sites section instead of the budget detail worksheet. The Contractual Category cost detail is not provided. Other questionable costs include purchase of T-shirts. DC is not identified as a site in the budget, but the site is referenced throughout the application making it difficult for the reviewer to ascertain if the site will be included or not. The budget narrative also indicates that the staff will have responsibilities to the DC site, e.g., director of programs, Best Men program director, Best Friends program manager, and Diamond Girl Leadership program manager.
a) A budget that is complete, allowable, and cost effective in relation to the proposed activities.		
b) Budget shows the cost calculations demonstrating how the applicant arrived at the total amount requested.		
c) Supporting budget narrative is included.		
d) Budget detail worksheet presents a complete and detailed itemization of all proposed costs.		

T= 76

Note- The comments for this application reflect the peer review comments of two peer reviewers

Reviewer Checklist
OJJDP FY 2007 National Juvenile Justice Programs

Score: 78

Applicant Name: World Golf Foundation GMS Application Number: #2007-51213-FL-JL

This checklist is designed to assist the reviewer in identifying and evaluating the requirements and selection criteria while maintaining internal consistency in scoring.

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	NOTES
1. Statement of the Problem/Program Narrative (20 points) The applicant includes the following:	14	- "Stats" only support ethnic breakdown of youth involved in golf; stats do not focus on the problem; discussion does not lead into how funding would advance juv justice or practical implications
a) Clear description of the need for applicant's project. (8)	5	
b) How funding would advance juvenile justice, child protection, and/or delinquency prevention by expanding the knowledge base of juvenile justice and child protection practices and demonstrating practical implications for juvenile justice and child protection policy and practice. (10)	7	
c) Statement whether intent is to use an innovative approach or to advance present practice. (2)	2	
2. Impact/Outcomes and Evaluation (20 points) The applicant includes the following:	20	
a) Description of goals of the proposed project and identification of its objectives. (8)	8	
b) Broad statement, written in general terms, conveying the program's intent to change, reduce or eliminate the problem described. (4)	4	
c) Explanation of how the program will accomplish its goals. Objectives should be specific, quantifiable statements of the desired results of the program, clearly connected to the problems identified in the preceding section. (8)	8	

OJP000002786

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	NOTES
3. Project/Program Design and Implementation (30 points) The applicant includes the following:	27	
a) Description of specific strategies that will be used to implement the proposed program. Design elements should follow directly from the project's goals and objectives. (7)	7	Design elements did not follow directly from the goals and objectives. Describes how data will be e collected. Logic model was clear and succinct and compensated for the lack of "flow" in the narrative. Quantification of objectives was not stated in the logic model – however, there was a statement regarding % of increase in the narrative.
b) Program design facilitates the gathering of data on the required performance measures. (5)	5	
c) Description of how project will operate throughout the duration of the funding period. Plans for sustainability, how the program will continue to operate beyond the OJJDP grant award period, must be discussed. (8)	5	
d) Inclusion of a logic model that graphically illustrates how the chosen performance measures are related to the specific problems, goals, and program activities. (5)	5	
e) Time-line that indicates major tasks in the proposed design, assigns responsibility for each major task, and plots completion of these tasks by month or quarter for the period of award. Time line should be submitted as a separate attachment. (5)	5	
4. Capabilities/Competencies (20 points) The applicant includes the following:	17	
a) Demonstration of the organizational capacity to complete the work described in the preceding section in an efficient and cost-effective manner. (5)	3	Applicant should have discussed the capacity of World Golf as well as the First Tee program.
b) Demonstration of the applicant's knowledge and experience related to juvenile justice and delinquency prevention. (5)	5	
c) Description of the roles and responsibilities of project staff and explanation of the program's organizational structure and operations. Ensure that tasks are adequately staffed. If additional staff will be hired for the project, applicant should identify selection criteria. (3)	5	
d) Resumes of key personnel are provided. (2)	3	Mission statement included in abstract.

OJP000002787

e) Applicant must provide evidence of eligibility, as described in solicitation. (5)	1	Mission statement could have been more comprehensive.
--	---	---

OJP000002788

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	NOTES
5. Budget (10 points) The applicant includes the following:	8	32 page budget; costs are allowable, but could have been more reasonable.
a) A budget that is complete, allowable, and cost effective in relation to the proposed activities. (3)	1	
b) Budget shows the cost calculations demonstrating how the applicant arrived at the total amount requested. (2)	2	
c) Supporting budget narrative is included. (2)	2	
d) Budget detail worksheet presents a complete and detailed itemization of all proposed costs. (3)	3	

OJP000002789

2. Impact/Outcomes and Evaluation (20 points) The applicant includes the following:	19	a) Project objectives are clearly outlined in application and clearly linked to overall project goal (pp. 4-5).
a) Description of goals of the proposed project and identification of its objectives.		b) Application includes the following statement: "To prevent juvenile delinquency and promote positive growth and development among very high-risk Hispanic adolescent boys through comprehensive and sequenced age and gender-appropriate services and supports from school, home, and the community (p.4)."
b) Broad statement, written in general terms, conveying the program's intent to change, reduce or eliminate the problem described.		
c) Explanation of how the program will accomplish its goals. Objectives should be specific, quantifiable statements of the desired results of the program, clearly connected to the problems identified in the preceding section.		c) A list of quantifiable performance measures (that also link to GPRA and PART performance standards) is included in application (pp. 4-6).

OJP000003267

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	NOTES
3. Project/Program Design and Implementation (30 points) The applicant includes the following:	25	a) Application outlines strategies to achieve project objectives, and design elements follow from stated objectives (pp. 6-19). One issue not sufficiently addressed, however, is how the project plans to deal with participant attrition (e.g., if by the third year of funding the first cohort has lost 20 percent of participants, will the program recruit additional boys or simply maintain the remaining participants?) This is particularly important as the attrition rate for vulnerable, high-risk populations tends to be high.
a) Description of specific strategies that will be used to implement the proposed program. Design elements should follow directly from the project's goals and objectives.		
b) Program design facilitates the gathering of data on the required performance measures.		
c) Description of how project will operate throughout the duration of the funding period. Plans for sustainability, how the program will continue to operate beyond the OJJDP grant award period, must be discussed.		b) Program design appears to facilitate data collection on performance measures. In addition performance measures also link to GPRA and PART performance standards (pp. 4-6).
d) Inclusion of a logic model that graphically illustrates how the chosen performance measures are related to the specific problems, goals, and program activities.		
e) Timeline that indicates major tasks in the proposed design, assigns responsibility for each major task, and plots completion of these tasks by month or quarter for the period of award. Timeline should be submitted as a separate attachment.		c) Applicant provides a description of how the project will operate during funding period. However, despite the fact that a significant portion of project funds appear to be directed to contract services, it is not clear from the budget or the project narrative the exact role of "Urban Strategies" (Victory Outreach's partner consultant) or how the relationship with Victory Outreach will function in regards to staffing, etc. In addition, while the application includes a sustainability plan, it focuses largely on work with Urban Strategies and includes plans to "identify and pursue sources of public and private funding (p. 14)." This may not be allowable to use OJP funds towards this purpose. d) Comprehensive logic model is included in application (p. 16). e) The application includes a timeline (milestone chart) that indicates major tasks (see Attachments).

<p>4. Capabilities/Competencies (20 points) The applicant includes the following:</p>	<p>14</p>	<p>a) The organizational capacity of VOS is unclear. The application does not provide information on the number of staff VOS employs or on its current annual operating budget. There may be cause to question whether VOS has the capacity to manage a project of this magnitude. In addition as noted above, despite the fact that a significant portion of project funds appear to be directed to contract services, it is not clear from the budget or the project narrative the exact role of "Urban Strategies" (Victory Outreach's partner consultant) or how the relationship with Victory Outreach will function in regards to staffing, responsibilities, etc. (pp. 17-18).</p>
<p>a) Demonstration of the organizational capacity to complete the work described in the preceding section in an efficient and cost-effective manner.</p>		
<p>b) Demonstration of the applicant's knowledge and experience related to juvenile justice and delinquency prevention.</p>		
<p>c) Description of the roles and responsibilities of project staff and explanation of the program's organizational structure and operations. Ensure that tasks are adequately staffed. If additional staff will be hired for the project, applicant should identify selection criteria.</p>		
<p>d) Resumes of key personnel are provided.</p>		
<p>e) Applicant must provide evidence of eligibility, as described in solicitation.</p>		<p>b) It is unclear whether the applicant organization and staff have sufficient knowledge to undertake a project of this magnitude (see Attachment (resumes)).</p> <p>c) Staff roles and responsibilities are not clearly defined, Majority of "Key Personnel" work for Urban Strategies a consultant partner of VOS. It is unclear how reporting structure will function and where ultimate responsibilities will fall. Also unclear whether newly hired project staff will work for VOS or Urban Strategies.</p> <p>d) Resumes of personnel are provided (see Attachments).</p> <p>e) Applicant appears eligible.</p>

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	NOTES
5. Budget (10 points) The applicant includes the following:	9	a) Budget appears complete, allowable and cost effective. Some concern as to whether costs of "incentives" are allowable. Also see note above regarding the allowability of using grant funds to solicit new grant funds (3.C).
a) A budget that is complete, allowable, and cost effective in relation to the proposed activities.		
b) Budget shows the cost calculations demonstrating how the applicant arrived at the total amount requested.		b) Budget includes cost calculations.
c) Supporting budget narrative is included.		c) Budget narrative is included.
d) Budget detail worksheet presents a complete and detailed itemization of all proposed costs.		d) Budget detail worksheet presents a complete and detailed itemization of proposed costs. However it would be helpful if contract costs were broken out in greater detail.

OJJDP000003270

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	NOTES
3. Project/Program Design and Implementation (30 points) The applicant includes the following:	26	Detailed project design which includes process for gathering data as well as evaluating work of the project.
a) Description of specific strategies that will be used to implement the proposed program. Design elements should follow directly from the project's goals and objectives. (10)	9	Address sustainability of project through developing and maintaining its partnerships.
b) Program design facilitates the gathering of data on the required performance measures. (3)	2	Informative logic model – makes connections between goals, activities and measures.
c) Description of how project will operate throughout the duration of the funding period. Plans for sustainability, how the program will continue to operate beyond the OJJDP grant award period, must be discussed. (7)	6	Timeline addresses implementation of project throughout grant period sufficiently.
d) Inclusion of a logic model that graphically illustrates how the chosen performance measures are related to the specific problems, goals, and program activities. (5)	5	
e) Timeline that indicates major tasks in the proposed design, assigns responsibility for each major task, and plots completion of these tasks by month or quarter for the period of award. Timeline should be submitted as a separate attachment. (5)	4	
4. Capabilities/Competencies (20 points) The applicant includes the following:	18	Description of organization, its history and personnel assigned to projects detail a clear structure which will support project implementation.
a) Demonstration of the organizational capacity to complete the work described in the preceding section in an efficient and cost-effective manner. (8)	8	Experience and knowledge regarding online safety programs but not as strong with reference to overall child protection; juvenile delinquency experience.
b) Demonstration of the applicant's knowledge and experience related to juvenile justice and delinquency prevention. (3)	2	
c) Description of the roles and responsibilities of project staff and explanation of the program's organizational structure and operations. Ensure that tasks are adequately staffed. If additional staff will be hired for the project, applicant should identify selection criteria. (4)	4	
d) Resumes of key personnel are provided. (3)	3	
e) Applicant must provide evidence of eligibility, as described in solicitation. (2)	1	

OJJDP000004214

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	NOTES
5. Budget (10 points) The applicant includes the following:	10	Budget supports costs, appears reasonable and clearly details how costs/expenses were calculated.
a) A budget that is complete, allowable, and cost effective in relation to the proposed activities.		
b) Budget shows the cost calculations demonstrating how the applicant arrived at the total amount requested.		
c) Supporting budget narrative is included.		
d) Budget detail worksheet presents a complete and detailed itemization of all proposed costs.		

OJP000004215

Reviewer Checklist
OJJDP FY 2007 National Juvenile Justice Programs

OJP000004216

Applicant Name: Enough is Enough GMS Application Number: 2007-51685-VA-JL

This checklist is designed to assist the reviewer in identifying and evaluating the requirements and selection criteria while maintaining internal consistency in scoring.

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	NOTES
1. Statement of the Problem/Program Narrative (20 points) The applicant includes the following:	18	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scope of the problem is clearly identified • Somewhat lacking in how this advances the knowledge base, <i>except</i> for taking the program national • Clearly states that this expands the current practice
a) Clear description of the need for applicant's project.		
b) How funding would advance juvenile justice, child protection, and/or delinquency prevention by expanding the knowledge base of juvenile justice and child protection practices and demonstrating practical implications for juvenile justice and child protection policy and practice.		
c) Statement whether intent is to use an innovative approach or to advance present practice.		
2. Impact/Outcomes and Evaluation (20 points) The applicant includes the following:	17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Description of goals and objects are very well written • The evaluation piece is lacking in description of how the evaluation will be completed (either in the body or the timeline)
a) Description of goals of the proposed project and identification of its objectives.		
b) Broad statement, written in general terms, conveying the program's intent to change, reduce or eliminate the problem described.		
c) Explanation of how the program will accomplish its goals. Objectives should be specific, quantifiable statements of the desired results of the program, clearly connected to the problems identified in the preceding section.		

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	NOTES
3. Project/Program Design and Implementation (30 points) The applicant includes the following:	24	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strategies and design is very well written and explained • Sustainability discussion is almost non-existent • Logic model doesn't seem to really address most of the objectives and activities • Timeline doesn't address evaluation activities very much
a) Description of specific strategies that will be used to implement the proposed program. Design elements should follow directly from the project's goals and objectives.		
b) Program design facilitates the gathering of data on the required performance measures.		
c) Description of how project will operate throughout the duration of the funding period. Plans for sustainability, how the program will continue to operate beyond the OJJDP grant award period, must be discussed.		
d) Inclusion of a logic model that graphically illustrates how the chosen performance measures are related to the specific problems, goals, and program activities.		
e) Timeline that indicates major tasks in the proposed design, assigns responsibility for each major task, and plots completion of these tasks by month or quarter for the period of award. Timeline should be submitted as a separate attachment.		
4. Capabilities/Competencies (20 points) The applicant includes the following:	17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is not clear that they are adequately staffed.
a) Demonstration of the organizational capacity to complete the work described in the preceding section in an efficient and cost-effective manner.		
b) Demonstration of the applicant's knowledge and experience related to juvenile justice and delinquency prevention.		
c) Description of the roles and responsibilities of project staff and explanation of the program's organizational structure and operations. Ensure that tasks are adequately staffed. If additional staff will be hired for the project, applicant should identify selection criteria.		
d) Resumes of key personnel are provided.		
e) Applicant must provide evidence of eligibility, as described in solicitation.		

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	NOTES
5. Budget (10 points) The applicant includes the following:	10	
a) A budget that is complete, allowable, and cost effective in relation to the proposed activities.		
b) Budget shows the cost calculations demonstrating how the applicant arrived at the total amount requested.		
c) Supporting budget narrative is included.		
d) Budget detail worksheet presents a complete and detailed itemization of all proposed costs.		

OJP000004218

TOTAL SCORE:

86

Reviewer Checklist
OJJDP FY 2007 National Juvenile Justice Programs

OJP000003092

Applicant Name: Latino Coalition for Faith & Community Initiatives - Reclamando Nuestro Futuro GMS Application Number 2007-51708-CA-JL

This checklist is designed to assist the reviewer in identifying and evaluating the requirements and selection criteria while maintaining internal consistency in scoring.

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	NOTES
1. Statement of the Problem/Program Narrative (20 points) The applicant includes the following:	20	Applicant has demonstrated a clear need for this project. Targeting 3 large metropolitan areas: LA, Denver, and Phoenix.
a) Clear description of the need for applicant's project.		
b) How funding would advance juvenile justice, child protection, and/or delinquency prevention by expanding the knowledge base of juvenile justice and child protection practices and demonstrating practical implications for juvenile justice and child protection policy and practice.		
c) Statement whether intent is to use an innovative approach or to advance present practice.		
2. Impact/Outcomes and Evaluation (20 points) The applicant includes the following:	20	Good goals and objectives, outcomes; to fund 12 FBO's; excellent linkage with workforce boards
a) Description of goals of the proposed project and identification of its objectives.		
b) Broad statement, written in general terms, conveying the program's intent to change, reduce or eliminate the problem described.		
c) Explanation of how the program will accomplish its goals. Objectives should be specific, quantifiable statements of the desired results of the program, clearly connected to the problems identified in the preceding section.		

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	NOTES
3. Project/Program Design and Implementation (30 points) The applicant includes the following:	25	Have addressed all components of this section; however some concepts are unclear or vague. It is not clear how referrals will work; court ordered. They talk about referrals as an application process, so it is not clear what the actual target population. Some of the charts and numbers cited are inconsistent. I'm not sure how reliable the data is. One of the outcomes listed is replication of the model, however there is not discussion of that component in this section or the rest of the application.
a) Description of specific strategies that will be used to implement the proposed program. Design elements should follow directly from the project's goals and objectives.		
b) Program design facilitates the gathering of data on the required performance measures.		
c) Description of how project will operate throughout the duration of the funding period. Plans for sustainability, how the program will continue to operate beyond the OJJDP grant award period, must be discussed.		
d) Inclusion of a logic model that graphically illustrates how the chosen performance measures are related to the specific problems, goals, and program activities.		
e) Timeline that indicates major tasks in the proposed design, assigns responsibility for each major task, and plots completion of these tasks by month or quarter for the period of award. Timeline should be submitted as a separate attachment.		
4. Capabilities/Competencies (20 points) The applicant includes the following:	20	Applicant has been around since 2003; has managed several large federal grants. All components for this section have been addressed.
a) Demonstration of the organizational capacity to complete the work described in the preceding section in an efficient and cost-effective manner.		
b) Demonstration of the applicant's knowledge and experience related to juvenile justice and delinquency prevention.		
c) Description of the roles and responsibilities of project staff and explanation of the program's organizational structure and operations. Ensure that tasks are adequately staffed. If additional staff will be hired for the project, applicant should identify selection criteria.		
d) Resumes of key personnel are provided.		
e) Applicant must provide evidence of eligibility, as described in solicitation.		

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	NOTES
5. Budget (10 points) The applicant includes the following:	6	A large portion of this grant is being passed through to 12 local FBO's; however a large number of staff employed by applicant will be a part of the management of this grant. However, I question why they are paying another entity to do project management for this grant. Also, some items are not justified.
a) A budget that is complete, allowable, and cost effective in relation to the proposed activities.	Total: 91	
b) Budget shows the cost calculations demonstrating how the applicant arrived at the total amount requested.		
c) Supporting budget narrative is included.		
d) Budget detail worksheet presents a complete and detailed itemization of all proposed costs.		

OJP000003094

Reviewer Checklist
OJJDP FY 2007 National Juvenile Justice Programs

OJP000003095

Applicant Name: Latino Coalition for Faith Community Initiatives, Inc. GMS Application Number: 2007-51708-CA-JL

This checklist is designed to assist the reviewer in identifying and evaluating the requirements and selection criteria while maintaining internal consistency in scoring.

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	NOTES
1. Statement of the Problem/Program Narrative (20 points) The applicant includes the following:	15	This reviewer feels that the applicant could have done a stronger job of linking the statistics about the problem to the work to be done. They also could have expanded on how funding would help address the problem. This reviewer could not locate a statement of intent regarding whether the work would be an innovative approach or advance present practice.
a) Clear description of the need for applicant's project.	9	
b) How funding would advance juvenile justice, child protection, and/or delinquency prevention by expanding the knowledge base of juvenile justice and child protection practices and demonstrating practical implications for juvenile justice and child protection policy and practice.	6	
c) Statement whether intent is to use an innovative approach or to advance present practice.	0	
2. Impact/Outcomes and Evaluation (20 points) The applicant includes the following:	17	This application would be strengthened by presenting outcome based, measurable objective statements. There is a chart that is somewhat helpful, however. As a result of how the objectives are presented, the application does not provide as strong an explanation of how the program objectives will be achieved as it should.
a) Description of goals of the proposed project and identification of its objectives.	4	
b) Broad statement, written in general terms, conveying the program's intent to change, reduce or eliminate the problem described.	4	
c) Explanation of how the program will accomplish its goals. Objectives should be specific, quantifiable statements of the desired results of the program, clearly connected to the problems identified in the preceding section.	9	

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	NOTES
3. Project/Program Design and Implementation (30 points) The applicant includes the following:	28	Due to the issues raised about the objectives in Section 2, the description of specific strategies is slightly affected. It would have been helpful to know more about the web-based system that would collect all evaluation data on this effort.
a) Description of specific strategies that will be used to implement the proposed program. Design elements should follow directly from the project's goals and objectives.	5	
b) Program design facilitates the gathering of data on the required performance measures.	5	
c) Description of how project will operate throughout the duration of the funding period. Plans for sustainability, how the program will continue to operate beyond the OJJDP grant award period, must be discussed.	6	
d) Inclusion of a logic model that graphically illustrates how the chosen performance measures are related to the specific problems, goals, and program activities.	6	
e) Timeline that indicates major tasks in the proposed design, assigns responsibility for each major task, and plots completion of these tasks by month or quarter for the period of award. Timeline should be submitted as a separate attachment.	6	
4. Capabilities/Competencies (20 points) The applicant includes the following:	19	It would have been helpful to know more about the time commitment of the project staff and how they will work together with the partners to ensure smooth oversight and implementation of the program.
a) Demonstration of the organizational capacity to complete the work described in the preceding section in an efficient and cost-effective manner.	4	
b) Demonstration of the applicant's knowledge and experience related to juvenile justice and delinquency prevention.	4	
c) Description of the roles and responsibilities of project staff and explanation of the program's organizational structure and operations. Ensure that tasks are adequately staffed. If additional staff will be hired for the project, applicant should identify selection criteria.	3	
d) Resumes of key personnel are provided.	4	
e) Applicant must provide evidence of eligibility, as described in solicitation.	4	

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINTS	NOTES
5. Budget (10 points) The applicant includes the following:	9	This applicant did not utilize the OJP budget detail worksheet.
a) A budget that is complete, allowable, and cost effective in relation to the proposed activities.	3	
b) Budget shows the cost calculations demonstrating how the applicant arrived at the total amount requested.	3	
c) Supporting budget narrative is included.	3	
d) Budget detail worksheet presents a complete and detailed itemization of all proposed costs.	0	

OJP0000003097