

### STATEMENT OF

# Michael A. Butler Chief Executive Officer Tennessee Wildlife Federation Nashville, Tennessee

#### BEFORE THE

SUBCOMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND GOVERNMENT REFORM U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## CONCERNING

REVIEWING THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY'S PROHIBITION ON HOUSEBOATS

Presented

SEPTEMBER 23, 2016

Mr. Chairman, Ranking Member Connolly, and Members of the Committee: thank you for this opportunity to address this issue of great importance to many citizens of the Tennessee Valley. As a native Tennessean I have spent nearly every year of my life recreating at public reservoirs managed by the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA). I have done this across the entirety of the Tennessee Valley, and have personally witnessed the importance and value of the public resources under the management of TVA. As the Chief Executive Officer of the Tennessee Wildlife Federation, I have sat across the table from TVA to challenge them to protect our public resources, and on the same side of the table working with them to accomplish the same.

The issue of nonnavigable floating houses on public reservoirs managed by the TVA has been ongoing for 45 years. The first policy establishing a prohibition against construction of new nonnavigable floating houses was published in the Federal Register on October 22, 1971. In 1978, in order to bring TVA regulations into compliance with the then recently passed federal Clean Water Act, TVA restated this prohibition and addressed water quality issues related to these structures. And again in 2003 the policy was restated saying that "No new nonnavigable houseboats shall be moored, anchored, or installed in any TVA reservoir." What is remarkable is that of the 1,836 floating houses currently moored on public reservoirs managed by TVA, 930 of them were built and put on our public reservoirs after the second prohibition against these structures was put in place in 1978. The history of this issue is clearly an evolutionary one where some have chosen to ignore measures put in place to ensure that all citizens may enjoy these public reservoir resources, and that the health of those resources is maintained.

Today we are here to review TVA's prohibition on floating houses. This new chapter builds upon previous ones, catalyzed apparently by discussions of potential plans to site entire subdivisions of floating homes on our public reservoirs. As a result TVA did what few federal agencies do, they addressed a very difficult issue head on, considered all options, listened to stakeholders and made a decision that not only benefits the greatest public interest, but which also protects the public reservoir resources that are the backbone and attraction of a sustainable outdoor recreation economy. We support the TVA board approved policy which again restates the prohibition on new construction and location of nonnavigable floating houses on our public reservoirs and which sets a reasonable timetable for the removal of the existing structures after the passage of the next 30 years. Our reasons for supporting the TVA board policy are as follows.

Public resources only have value inasmuch as they are available to the public. What makes this possible is rational use provided by law. The reservoirs TVA manages under section 26a of the TVA Act are public reservoirs and, as such, we believe, should not be impeded or made de-facto private property by allowing citizens to own floating houses on public waters. The mooring of floating houses on TVA public reservoirs excludes the public from being able to utilize that water in particular, and can negatively impact public uses of the larger public reservoirs as a whole. Additionally, they can pose a significant and real health and safety concern when not installed or maintained properly.

While some will argue against this new policy saying that these structures help the local economy, the realities of the economic impacts appears to be more dynamic. TVA has stated in its recent Environmental Impact Statement on this issue, these nonnavigable floating houses may work to depress shoreline property values and negatively impact surface water recreational opportunities. Add to this that some individuals are utilizing floating houses as rental properties, and this further exacerbates the use of these public resources to benefit a few citizens. Generally speaking the Tennessee Wildlife Federation is not opposed to businesses operating on public waters or lands as long as (a) the activities or services being offered do not degrade the natural resources involved, and

(b) that the activities or services being offered do not exclude other legitimate uses of these public resources. In our opinion, nonnavigable floating houses fail both of these tests.

In addition to these points, waste issues are of concern. Who or what agency monitors compliance of these systems during their installation, operation and during their failure when this occurs? Is this effectively an unfunded federal mandate that the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation must enforce? Will the owner(s) be held financially liable when waste systems fail? If so, who will enforce this, and how will it be enforced, and how will the public be reimbursed for damage caused to the public waterways? To this point, TVA has recognized this concern stating in its recently completed Environmental Impact Statement (ES 5.2.1), "An increase in the number of FHs is expected to exacerbate water pollution problems, adding to the cumulative wastewater loading to surface waters". The leaking of human and household waste into public reservoirs is a public health hazard. This is a hazard not only to aquatic life but to recreational users as well.

The permanent mooring of nonnavigable floating houses has also been identified as a safety issue by TVA due to concerns of increased navigation congestion. This relates directly to the public's use of the reservoirs under TVA's care and the boaters and other watercraft that utilize them. Lastly, we echo the concerns raised regarding safety concerns surrounding electrical service to floating houses and the potential for electrocution.

While some may argue that wastewater and electrical safety can be adequately regulated, the sheer fact that hundreds of these structures were located on public reservoirs without any permitting or oversight, over a handful of decades, in direct violation of federal rules, is a clear statement that many of the people building these structures are not concerned with following the rules and regulations that govern them.

STATEMENT OF MICHAEL A. BUTLER

Lastly, and of great importance to millions of people who love to fish our public reservoirs, we believe the presence of floating houses deters use by anglers who seek to utilize the public waters and fisheries resources. In Tennessee, these anglers have a constitutional right to fish and allowing de-facto private ownership of public reservoirs is in direct conflict with these activities, and arguably this right.

It is for these reasons that we support the recently approved TVA board of directors' policy pertaining to nonnavigable floating houses. It is our belief that no citizen should have a presumption of ownership of public waters, especially at the expense of other citizens who have an equal claim of use. There are good reasons as to why you or I cannot go into a national park and build a home, and there are equally good reasons that these structures were originally prohibited and regulated starting in 1971. We feel that the new policy is squarely aligned with TVA's authority and duty under section 26a of the TVA Act.

I would like to close by noting that this policy is also supported by TVA's Regional Resources Stewardship Council, a federal advisory committee comprised of 19 members representing private and public citizens from each state of the Tennessee River Valley. Their charge is "to provide TVA advice on its stewardship activities and the priorities among competing objectives and values." We find their support validating, given the diversity of interests that sit on the council and the fact that they support this policy. Thank you Mr. Chairman and Ranking Member Connolly for this opportunity to address the committee. It is greatly appreciated.

#### **Biography of Michael A. Butler**

Michael A. Butler joined the Tennessee Wildlife Federation (TWF), formerly known as the Tennessee Conservation League in April of 1996, and has served as its Chief Executive Officer since 2002. The Tennessee Wildlife Federation is the largest and oldest statewide wildlife and natural resources conservation organization in Tennessee.

Butler was born and raised in Jackson, Tennessee, and attended the University of Tennessee at Knoxville, earning a B.S. in Wildlife and Fisheries Science. This was followed by internships for The Wildlife Society in Washington, D.C. and at Delta Waterfowl's headquarters in Portage la Prairie, Canada. In 1996, he went on to earn a Master of Science in Fish and Wildlife Management from Montana State University in Bozeman, Montana, which was solely funded by the Rob and Bessie Welder Wildlife Foundation of Sinton, Texas.

Upon returning to Tennessee in 1996, Butler was recruited to the Tennessee Conservation League, based in Nashville, Tennessee, as its Technical Advisor to the Executive Director. He worked in this position until being promoted to Director of Conservation Programs in 1998. He served as Director of Conservation Programs until June 2002, at which time he was appointed Chief Executive Officer at the age of 32.

In his role as CEO, Butler led the rebranding of the then 58 year old Tennessee Conservation League into today's Tennessee Wildlife Federation (TWF). Under his leadership and dedication, TWF has experienced its greatest growth in its history, seeing significant expansion in the organization's budget, staff, and programmatic and legislative outreach and impact.

Today, the Tennessee Wildlife Federation boasts a highly successful conservation policy program, the most successful youth clay target shooting sports program in the U.S., the number one USA Shooting Certified Training Center in the nation allowing shooting sports athletes a direct STATEMENT OF MICHAEL A. BUTLER PAGE 6 | 7 pipeline to the Olympics, a ground breaking youth hunting and fishing recruitment program, the State's only in-lieu fee program leading innovative solutions for wetland mitigation, and one of the top recognized and successful Hunters for the Hungry programs in the country.

In the arena of natural resource and conservation policy, Butler stands as a much-respected voice of reason for Tennessee's state delegation having led successful efforts to amend the Tennessee Constitution to include a personal right to hunt and fish, and has authored or co-authored dozens of pieces of legislation that have protected Tennessee's state wildlife agency, public lands which sportsmen use for hunting and fishing, and the state's wildlife, water, forests and other lands.

Butler is a member of various sportsmen and conservation groups, and serves on several conservation focused boards. He is an Eagle Scout, and in 2008 received an appointment from President George W. Bush to serve on the Board of Trustees for the Morris K. and Stewart L. Udall Foundation for Scholarship and Excellence in Environmental Policy. He is also the recipient of the Tennessee Chapter of The Wildlife Society's 2004 Outstanding Wildlife Professional of the Year award.

Butler is an avid outdoorsman who enjoys the shooting sports, hunting, fishing and spending time on his tractor working on farm projects. He currently lives in Nashville with his wife Wallis of 14 years and their three daughters, 13 year old Wesley Anne, 11 year old Campbell and 9 year old Ella, along with their Labrador Retrievers "Doc" and "Albus".

#### STATEMENT OF MICHAEL A. BUTLER

 $P \land G \models 7 \mid 7$