DARRELL E. ISSA, CALIFORNIA CHAIRMAN

DAN BURTON, INDIANA
JOHN L. MICA, FLORIDA
TODD RUSSELL PLATTS, PENNSYLVANIA
MICHAEL R. TURNER, OHIO
PATRICK MCHENRY, NORTH CAROLINA
JIM JORDAN, OHIO
JASON CHAFFETZ, UTAH
CONNIE MACK, FLORIDA
TIM WALBERG, MICHIGAN
JUSTIN AMASH, MICHIGAN
ANN MARIE BUERKLE, NEW YORK
PAUL A. GOSAR, D.D.S., ARIZONA
RAUL R. LABRADOR, IDAHO
PATRICK MEEHAN, PENNSYLVANIA
SCOTT DESJARLAIS, M.D., TENNESSEE
JOE WALSH, ILLINOIS
TREY GOWDY, SOUTH CAROLINA
DENNIS A. ROSS, FLORIDA
FRANK C. GUINTA, NEW HAMPSHIRE
BLAKE FARENTHOLD, TEXAS

MIKE KELLY, PENNSYLVANIA

LAWRENCE J. BRADY

STAFF DIRECTOR

ONE HUNDRED TWELFTH CONGRESS

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND GOVERNMENT REFORM 2157 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING

WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6143

MAJORITY (202) 225-5074 FACSIMILE (202) 225-3974 MINORITY (202) 225-5051

http://oversight.house.gov

May 23, 2012

ELIJAH E. CUMMINGS, MARYLAND RANKING MINORITY MEMBER

EDOLPHUS TOWNS, NEW YORK
CAROLYN B. MALONEY, NEW YORK
ELEANOR HOLMES NORTON,
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
DENNIS J. KUCINICH, OHIO
JOHN F. TIERNEY, MASSACHUSETTS
WM. LACY CLAY, MISSOURI
STEPHEN F. LYNCH, MASSACHUSETTS
JIM COOPER, TENNESSEE
GERALD E. CONNOLLY, VIRGINIA
MIKE QUIGLEY, ILLINOIS
DANNY K. DAVIS, ILLINOIS
BRUCE L. BRALEY, IOWA
PETER WELCH, VERMONT
JOHN A. YARMUTH, KENTUCKY
CHRISTOPHER S. MURPHY, CONNECTICUT
JACKIE SPEIER, CALIFORNIA

Vice Admiral Mark D. Harnitchek Director Defense Logistics Agency 8725 John J. Kingman Road Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-6221

Dear Vice Admiral Harnitchek:

The Subcommittee on National Security, Homeland Defense and Foreign Operations is conducting an investigation into the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) Troop Support division and its Subsistence Prime Vendor contract in Afghanistan with Supreme Foodservice GmbH (Supreme), one of the Department of Defense's largest contractors in Afghanistan.

We are writing today to request documents and information relating to a letter DLA sent to Supreme on December 9, 2011, demanding that the company repay more than \$750 million that the Defense Department apparently overpaid as part of Supreme's contract to deliver food to hundreds of locations in Afghanistan between December 2005 and September 2011.

The Subcommittee has a responsibility to ensure that taxpayer dollars are being properly expended. To this end, the Subcommittee continues to have concerns about the Subsistence Prime Vendor contract in Afghanistan given the size and scope of the contract, the length of performance, the undefinitized nature of the contract, the history between the parties, and ultimately, the extent of the overpayments at issue.

When it was first awarded on June 3, 2005, the contract required Supreme to deliver food to four locations in Afghanistan. Within three months, DLA radically expanded the contract to include an additional 64 forward operating bases in isolated and hard-to-reach locations. Today, the number of sites has swelled to 265.

¹ Pentagon Seeks \$756.9 Million Overpayment Refund from Supreme Foodservice, Bloomberg (Dec. 13, 2011) (online at www.bloomberg.com/news/2011-12-13/pentagon-seeks-756-9-million-refund-from-supreme-foodservice.html).

Defense Logistics Agency Page 2

According to information supplied to the Committee, DLA and Supreme apparently never agreed on pricing terms for delivering food and supplies to the additional sites despite having agreed to expand the contract. After performing on the contract for six months, Supreme sent DLA a bill with proposed rates for transportation to the additional sites. Concerned that Supreme could not justify those charges, DLA paid Supreme only 75 percent of the requested amount starting in August 2006. DLA subsequently reduced that amount to 50 percent of the charges in August 2010. To determine whether Supreme's proposed rates were reasonable, DLA requested an audit of Supreme's proposed charges by the Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA). Two separate audits released in 2008 and 2011 found that Supreme's proposed rates were not sustainable. Specifically, the 2008 DCAA audit found that, "[b]ecause the noncompliances and inadequacies are considered to have a significant impact on the proposal taken as a whole, we do not believe the proposal is an acceptable basis for negotiation."²

After repeated, failed attempts to negotiate fees with Supreme over five-and-a-half years, DLA unilaterally definitized the distribution rates to additional locations based on actual costs and reasonable profit. Although DLA had already paid Supreme \$1.38 billion for distributing food and supplies to the additional locations, DLA determined that it had still overpaid Supreme by \$756.9 million. In response, Supreme has stated that rates should be based on commercial, market rates for transportation in Afghanistan. Calculated this way, Supreme would be owed a total of \$2.46 billion, or \$1.08 billion more than DLA has already paid.

Despite a billing dispute as wide as \$1.64 billion, DLA is now preparing to grant a five-year follow-on contract that will be worth \$10 to \$30 billion.³ DLA has yet to make an announcement about the new contract award, and Supreme remains one of four contractors under consideration.

As many questions remain unanswered about the Subsistence Prime Vendor contract, the Subcommittee continues to have concerns over the use of taxpayer funds for Department of Defense contracts in Afghanistan.

To assist the Subcommittee in its investigation, please provide the following information regarding the Subsistence Prime Vendor contract:

1. All communications regarding any proposed or requested expansion of the contract to include forward operating bases as additional delivery destinations;

² Defense Contract Audit Agency, *Audit Report No. 2191-2008M17200001* (Dec. 19, 2008).

³ Defense Logistics Agency, *Subsistence Prime Vendor Support in Afghanistan* (Solicitation No. SPM300-11-R-0063) (online at www.troopsupport.dla.mil/subs/pv/regions/mideast/11R0063.pdf).

Defense Logistics Agency Page 3

- 2. All communications regarding proposed rates for distribution to forward operating bases, otherwise known as Premium Outbound Transportation:
- 3. All communications between Supreme and DLA regarding any audit of Supreme's proposed rates;
- 4. A listing of all contracting officers and contracting officer representatives, and those responsible for directly managing or overseeing any such persons, who have worked on the Supreme contract, including a brief description of each person's role with regard to the contract; and
- 5. An explanation of DLA's position, including, but not limited to any supporting legal authority, documentation, and communication, that reasonable rates for Premium Outbound Transportation under the contract should be based on an audit of Supreme's actual costs.

The Committee on Oversight and Government Reform is the principal oversight committee of the House of Representatives and may at "any time" investigate "any matter" as set forth in House Rule X. An attachment to this letter provides additional information about responding to the Committee's request.

We ask that you provide the requested documents and information as soon as possible, but no later than June 4, 2012. When producing documents to the Committee, please deliver production sets to the Majority Staff in Room 2157 of the Rayburn House Office Building and the Minority Staff in Room 2471 of the Rayburn House Office Building. The Committee prefers, if possible, to receive all documents in electronic format.

If you have any questions, please contact Tom Alexander of the Majority staff at 202-225-5074, or Carlos Uriarte of the Minority staff at 202-225-5051.

Sincerely,

Jason Chaffetz Chairman

Subcommittee on National Security,

Homeland Defense and Foreign Operations

John F. Tierney

Ranking Member

Subcommittee on National Security,

Homeland Defense and Foreign Operations