HENRY A. WAXMAN, CALIFORNIA,

TOM LANTOS, CALIFORNIA
EDOLPHUS TOWNS, NEW YORK
PAUL E, KANJORSKI, PENNSYLVANIA
CAROLYN B, MALONEY, NEW YORK
ELIJAH E, CUMMINGS, MARYLAND
DENNIS J, KUCINICH, OHIO
DANNY K, DAVIS, ILLINDIS
JOHN F, IERNEY, MASSACHUSETTS
WM, LACY CLAY, MISSOURI
DIANE E, WATSON, CALIFORNIA
STEPHEN F, LYNCH, MASSACHUSETTS
BRIAN HIGGINS, NEW YORK
JOHN A, YARMUTH, KENTUCKY
BRUCE L, BRALEY, IOWA
ELEANOR HOLMES NORTON,
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
BETTY MCCOLLUM, MINNESOTA
JIM COOPER, TENNESSEE
CHRIS VAN HOLLEN, MARYLAND
PAUL W, HODES, NEW HAMPSHIRE
CHRISTOPHER S, MURRHY, CONNECTICUT
JOHN P, SABBANES, MARYLAND
PETER WELCH, VERMONT

ONE HUNDRED TENTH CONGRESS

## Congress of the United States

## House of Representatives

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND GOVERNMENT REFORM

2157 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING

WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6143

MAJOHITY (202) 225–5051 FACSIMILE (202) 225–4784 MINOHITY (202) 225–5074

www.oversight.house.gov

November 26, 2007

Mr. Dennis Smith
Director
Center for Medicaid and State Operations
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
200 Independence Avenue, SW
Hubert H. Humphrey Building
Washington, DC 20201

Dear Mr. Smith:

Thank you for your participation in this Committee's November 1, 2007, hearing on the Administration's Regulatory Actions on Medicaid. As you know, the hearing focused on six regulations proposed during the past year relating to cost limits for public providers (CMS 2258-FC), payment for graduate medical education (CMS 2279-P), payment for hospital outpatient services (CMS 2213-P), provider taxes (CMS 2275-P), coverage of rehabilitative services (CMS 2261-P), and payments for costs of school administrative and transportation services (CMS 2287-P).

At the hearing, you testified that Medicaid is "administered by the states within a federally defined framework," that within this framework states have "a great deal of programmatic flexibility," and that as a result, "there is variation among the States in eligibility, services, and reimbursement rates to providers and health plans." The proposed regulations at issue in this hearing would fundamentally alter the federal regulatory framework within which states now administer their Medicaid programs. Because of the variation among state programs, each proposed regulation would affect states differently.

The proposal for each of these regulations includes an estimate of the reduction in federal Medicaid payments to states that would result. The estimate is national, not state-specific: in total, the proposed regulations would reduce federal Medicaid payments to states by over \$11 billion over the next five years.

Each of the proposals also contains an analysis of its likely impact on small businesses, nonprofit organizations, small governmental jurisdictions, and small rural hospitals. None of these proposals, however, attempts to explain the likely fiscal impact on each state. In a federal-state program as large as Medicaid, where the effects can vary significantly by state, the lack of a

TOM DAVIS, VIRGINIA, RANKING MINORITY MEMBER

DAN BURTON, INDIANA
CHRISTOPHER SHAYS, CONNECTICUT
JOHN M...MCHUGH, NEW YORK
JOHN L. MICA, FLORIDA
MARK E. SOUDER, INDIANA
TODD RUSSELL PLATTS, PENNSYLVANIA
CHRIS CANNON, UTAH
JOHN J. DUNCAN, JR., TENNESSEE
MICHAEL R. TURNER, OHIO
DARRELL E. ISSA, CALIFORNIA
KENNY MARCHANT, TEXAS
LYNN A. WESTMORELAND, GEORGIA
PATRICK T. MCHENRY, NORTH CAROLINA
VIRGINIA FOXX, NORTH CAROLINA
BILLS ALI, IDAHO
JIM JORDAN, OHIO

Mr. Dennis Smith November 26, 2007 Page 2

state-by-state impact analysis makes it virtually impossible for federal or state policymakers—much less the public—to understand what is being proposed. Without a state-by-state analysis, the proposed regulations are not truly transparent.

To assist the Committee and the public in understanding the state-specific impact of each of the six proposals, I request that you submit the following information:

- 1. All documents relating to the development of the estimates of federal Medicaid outlays that were published with each of the proposed rules listed above, including any state-specific analyses (of any one or all states) that were developed or used in the development of these federal outlay estimates; and
- 2. A state-specific analysis of the impact of each of the proposed rules listed above, including an estimate of the expected reduction in federal Medicaid funds to each state over each of the next five years.

The Committee on Oversight and Government Reform is the principal oversight committee in the House of Representatives and has broad oversight jurisdiction as set forth in House Rule X. An attachment to this letter provides additional information about how to respond to the Committee's request.

Please submit all of these materials to the Committee by December 14, 2007. If you have any questions regarding this request, please feel free to contact Andy Schneider of the Committee staff at 202-225-5056.

Sincerely,

Henry A. Waxman Chairman

Key 4. Wyman

Enclosure

cc: Tom Davis

Ranking Minority Member

HENRY A. WAXMAN, CALIFORNIA,

TOM LANTOS, CAJPORINA
EDOLPHUS TOWNS, NEW YORK
PAUL E. KANJORSKI, PENNSYLVANIA
CAROLYN B. MALONEY, NEW YORK
ELJAH E. CUMMINGS, MARYLAND
DENNIS J. KUCINICH, OHIO
DANRY K. DAVIS, ILLINOIS
JOHN F. TIERNEY, MASSACHUSETTS
WM. LACY CLAY, MISSOURI
DANE E. WATSON, CAJPORINIA
STEPHEN F. LYNCH, MASSACHUSETTS
BRIAN HIGGINS, NEW YORK
JOHN A. YARMUTH, KENTUCKY
BRILDER L. BRALEY, IOWA
ELEANOR HOLLIES NORTON,
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
BETTY MCCOLLUM, MINNESOTA
JIM COOPER, TENNESSEE
CHRIS VAN HOLLEN, MARYLAND
PAUL W. HODES, NEW HAMPSHIRE
CHRISTOPHER S. MURPHY, CONNECTICUT
JOHN P. SARBANES, MARYLAND

PETER WELCH, VERMONT

ONE HUNDRED TENTH CONGRESS

# Congress of the United States

## House of Representatives

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND GOVERNMENT REFORM 2157 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6143

> MAJORITY (202) 225-5051 FACSIMILE (202) 225-4784 MINORITY (202) 225-5074

www.oversight.house.gov

TOM DAVIS, VIRGINIA.
• RANKING MINORITY MEMBER

DAN BURTON, INDIANA
CHRISTOPHER SHAYS, CONNECTICUT
JOHN M. McHUGH, NEW YORK
JOHN L. MICA, FLORIDA
MARK E. SOUDER, INDIANA
TODD RUSSELL PLATTS, PENNSYLVANIA
CHRIS CANNON, UTAH
JOHN J. DUNCAN, JR., TENNESSEE
MICHAEL R. TURNER, OHIO
DARRELL E. ISSA, CALIFORNIA
KENNY MARCHANT, TEVAS
LYNN A. WESTMORELAND, GEORGIA
PATRICK T. MCHENRY, NORTH CAROLINA
VIRGINIA FOXX, NORTH CAROLINA
BILL SAU, IDAHO
JIM JORDAN, OHIO
JOHN YORK

### Responding to Oversight Committee Document Requests

In responding to the document request from the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, please apply the instructions and definitions set forth below.

#### Instructions

- 1. In complying with the request, you should produce all responsive documents in your possession, custody, or control.
- 2. Documents responsive to the request should not be destroyed, modified, removed, transferred, or otherwise made inaccessible to the Committee.
- 3. In the event that any entity, organization, or individual denoted in the request has been, or is currently, known by any other name than that herein denoted, the request should be read also to include them under that alternative identification.
- 4. Each document produced should be produced in a form that renders the document capable of being copied.
- 5. When you produce documents, you should identify the paragraph or clause in the Committee's request to which the documents respond.
- 6. Documents produced in response to this request should be produced together with copies of file labels, dividers, or identifying markers with which they were associated when this request was issued. To the extent that documents were not stored with file labels, dividers, or identifying markers, they should be organized into separate folders by subject matter prior to production.
- 7. Each folder and box should be numbered, and a description of the contents of each folder and box, including the paragraph or clause of the request to which the documents are responsive, should be provided in an accompanying index.
- 8. It is not a proper basis to refuse to produce a document that any other person or entity also possesses a nonidentical or identical copy of the same document.

- 9. If any of the requested information is available in machine-readable or electronic form (such as on a computer server, hard drive, CD, DVD, memory stick, or computer backup tape), you should consult with Committee staff to determine the appropriate format in which to produce the information.
- 10. The Committee accepts electronic documents in lieu of paper productions.

  Documents produced in electronic format should be organized, identified, and indexed electronically in a manner comparable to the organizational structure called for in (6) and (7) above. Electronic document productions should be prepared according to the following standards:
  - (a) The production should consist of single page TIF files accompanied by a Concordance-format load file, an Opticon reference file, and a file defining the fields and character lengths of the load file.
  - (b) Document numbers in the load file should match document Bates numbers and TIF file names.
  - (c) If the production is completed through a series of multiple partial productions, field names and file order in all load files should match.
- In the event that a responsive document is withheld on any basis, you should provide the following information concerning the document: (a) the reason the document is not being produced; (b) the type of document; (c) the general subject matter; (d) the date, author, and addressee; and (e) the relationship of the author and addressee to each other.
- 12. If any document responsive to this request was, but no longer is, in your possession, custody, or control, you should identify the document (stating its date, author, subject and recipients) and explain the circumstances by which the document ceased to be in your possession, custody, or control.
- 13. If a date or other descriptive detail set forth in this request referring to a document is inaccurate, but the actual date or other descriptive detail is known to you or is otherwise apparent from the context of the request, you should produce all documents which would be responsive as if the date or other descriptive detail were correct.
- 14. This request is continuing in nature and applies to any newly discovered document.

  Any document not produced because it has not been located or discovered by the return date should be produced immediately upon location or discovery subsequent thereto.
- 15. All documents should be bates-stamped sequentially and produced sequentially. In the cover letter, you should include a total page count for the entire production, including both hard copy and electronic documents.

- 16. Two sets of documents should be delivered, one set to the majority staff and one set to the minority staff. The majority set should be delivered to the majority staff in Room 2157 of the Rayburn House Office Building, and the minority set should be delivered to the minority staff in Room B350A in the Rayburn House Office Building. You should consult with Committee staff regarding the method of delivery prior to sending any materials.
- 17. Upon completion of the document production, you should submit a written certification, signed by you or your counsel, stating that: (1) a diligent search has been completed of all documents in your possession, custody, or control which reasonably could contain responsive documents; and (2) all documents located during the search that are responsive have been produced to the Committee or identified in a privilege log provided to the Committee.

#### **Definitions**

- The term "document" means any written, recorded, or graphic matter of any nature 1. whatsoever, regardless of how recorded, and whether original or copy, including, but not limited to, the following: memoranda, reports, expense reports, books, manuals, instructions, financial reports, working papers, records notes, letters, notices, confirmations, telegrams, receipts, appraisals, pamphlets, magazines, newspapers, prospectuses, interoffice and intra-office communications, electronic mail (email), contracts, cables, notations of any type of conversation, telephone calls, meetings or other communications, bulletins, printed matter, computer printouts, teletypes, invoices, transcripts, diaries, analyses, returns, summaries, minutes, bills, accounts, estimates, projections, comparisons, messages, correspondence, press releases, circulars, financial statements, reviews, opinions, offers, studies and investigations, questionnaires and surveys, and work sheets (and all drafts, preliminary versions, alterations, modifications, revisions, changes, and amendments of any of the foregoing, as well as any attachments or appendices thereto). The term also means any graphic or oral records or representations of any kind (including without limitation, photographs, charts, graphs, voice mails, microfiche, microfilm, videotape, recordings and motion pictures), electronic and mechanical records or representations of any kind (including, without limitation, tapes, cassettes, disks, computer server files, computer hard drive files, CDs, DVDs, memory sticks, and recordings), and other written, printed, typed, or other graphic or recorded matter of any kind or nature, however produced or reproduced, and whether preserved in writing, film, tape, disk, videotape or otherwise. A document bearing any notation not a part of the original text is to be considered a separate document. A draft or non-identical copy is a separate document within the meaning of this term.
- 2. The term "documents in your possession, custody, or control" means (a) documents that are in your possession, custody, or control, whether held by you or your past or present agents, employees, or representatives acting on your behalf; (b) documents that you have a legal right to obtain, that you have a right to copy, or to which you have access; and (c) documents that you have placed in the temporary possession, custody, or control of any third party.
- 3. The term "communication" means each manner or means of disclosure or exchange of information, regardless of means utilized, whether oral, electronic, by document or otherwise, and whether face-to-face, in a meeting, by telephone, mail, telexes, discussions, releases, personal delivery, or otherwise.
- 4. The terms "and" and "or" shall be construed broadly and either conjunctively or disjunctively to bring within the scope of the request any information which might otherwise be construed to be outside its scope. The singular includes plural number, and vice versa. The masculine includes the feminine and neuter genders.
- 5. The terms "person" or "persons" means natural persons, firms, partnerships, associations, corporations, subsidiaries, divisions, departments, joint ventures,

- proprietorships, syndicates, or other legal, business or government entities, and all subsidiaries, affiliates, divisions, departments, branches, and other units thereof.
- 6. The terms "referring" or "relating," with respect to any given subject, means anything that constitutes, contains, embodies, reflects, identifies, states, refers to, deals with, or is in any manner whatsoever pertinent to that subject.