

**To:** King, Dave [REDACTED]@uk.bp.com]; Naughton, Eamonn J. [REDACTED]@uk.bp.com]; Rogers, Liz [REDACTED]@uk.bp.com]; Huijgens, Rutger [REDACTED]@bp.com]; Goddard, Kelly [REDACTED]@bp.com]; Samuel, James [REDACTED]@uk.bp.com]; Emery, Dominic [REDACTED]@uk.bp.com]; Ford, Susan J (Sunbury) [REDACTED]@uk.bp.com]; O'Brien, Robert [REDACTED]@uk.bp.com]; Stout, Robert [REDACTED]@bp.com];  
**Cc:** Ragoonanan, Jalim, Karen [REDACTED]@bp.com]; Hill, Gardiner [REDACTED]@uk.bp.com]; Evans, Peter [PETER.EVANS@uk.bp.com];  
**From:** Sathiamoorthy, Muhunthan [/O=EXCHANGE LABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=[REDACTED]]  
**Sent:** Fri 08/11/2019 10:50:01 AM (UTC)  
**Subject:** 2019-10-29 BRIEFING NOTE\_BP participation in OGMP.docx  
2019-10-29 BRIEFING NOTE\_BP participation in OGMP.docx

Dear All

On the basis of no amendments to the document Rob shared on the 29<sup>th</sup> October, and given the urgency related to CCAC OGMP, I propose that we move the conversation forward. Please note I have made a few minor edits

Our recommendation is the **continued participation of OGMP 2.0**

We can discuss the briefing note (attached) and the outstanding risks that need to be managed during our scheduled call next week.

The continued developments on the Role of Gas in Europe driven by the EU Commission (Rutger can elaborate) and the external messaging that BP has given to methane and methane leadership mean there is a clear political angle to BP and OGMP. The UN Environment, EU Commission and EDF are on the OGMP Steering Group and driving forward this new ambition. The UK Government is also a signatory.

## Redacted - First Amendment

The outstanding actions from the last meeting and as highlighted on the Briefing note were:

**a. Whether BPX support participating (or whether their permission is required);**

Awaiting confirmation from Dave on his discussions with BPX but with the changed focus of OGMP (e.g. away from mitigation by source reporting) then we may not need specific permission (although support would be better) as it would be the Company (Upstream) joining as a whole e.g. as per Zero Routine Flaring where permission was not sought from every Region.

**b. Whether there are any legal / contractual implications of reporting NOJV emissions;**

The changed focus on NOJVs versus the original new framework has provided more acceptance that certain NOJVs may have insurmountable legal / contractual barriers and "reasonable endeavours" refers to BP demonstrating that it tried. There is also acceptance that by source will be less detailed than for operated sites. From initial feedback from BP legal we do not see a problem (James – please elaborate if I have mis-summarised the recent email exchanges or if further time is needed for you address this risk)

**c. Whether sufficient resource exists currently within the organisation (e.g. Upstream HSE, Technology, S&OR) or if an additional resource will be required to deliver**

BP is already undertaking a lot of work in this area involving multiple teams but on a discretionary basis. OGMP 2.0 would formalise the need for some of this work and also the associated reporting requirements (including changes to central databases to allow the capture of additional fields such as operator, methane by source and potentially measurement methodology). Further work is needed to detail this impact (I can work with Peter Evans, Rob and others to map this out next week) but noting the current BP methane detection / measurement activity goes far beyond the current OGMP requirements e.g. mandating real-time detection for major projects.

The remainder of the draft Framework will need review and editing (by all Signatories) to ensure that certain wording and implications are avoided and that action was parked whilst the more contentious issues were resolved. BP legal has already provided some feedback on that text but no show-stoppers.

I will reach out – informally – to Total, Shell, Equinor, Repsol and ENI and get a sense of their likely decision, but would suggest it will

BPA\_HC0R\_00307911

be YES with Total the only one that has been more vocal (and emotional) in expressing concerns.

Regards

**Sonna**

(Muhunthan Sathiamoorthy)

**Group Carbon Manager, S&OR**

**Environment, Social Responsibility and HSSE Compliance**

**Mobile:** [REDACTED]

**Lync Phone:** (0) 203 401 2509

BP International Ltd  
Chertsey Road  
Sunbury on Thames  
Middlesex TW16 7LN

Registered Office: [REDACTED] Registered in England and Wales, number 102498

Email disclaimer: The information in this email is confidential and may be legally privileged. It is intended solely for the addressee(s) only. Access to this email by anyone else is unauthorised. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or an action taken or omitted to be taken in reliance on it, is prohibited and may be unlawful. Within the bounds of law, electronic transmissions through internal and external networks may be monitored to ensure compliance with internal policies and legitimate business purposes.

Confidential