Message		
From:	Ryan, Jason [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANG	GE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP
	(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=	
Sent: To:	19/04/2019 19:04:25 Magallanes, Downey [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exc	change Administrative Group
10.	(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=	; Nolan, James
	[/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative	
	(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=	
CC:	Streett, Mary [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange /	
	(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn	; Clanton, Brett
	[/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn	; Ellis, Joe
	[/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative	
	(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn	·
Subject:	RE: Energy Innovation and Carbon Dividend Act	
Downey an	d Jim,	
works on h may look lil		I think we provided some important context for him as he nding on the principals of what a well-designed price on carbon
	arreye out for his story which could be out on i	violiday arteriloon.
Best, Jason		
Jason Rya	n	
BP America I	nc.   Director, U.S. Media Affairs	
BP America	dd	com_
	allanes, Downey@bp.com	m>
	y, Ap <u>ril 19, 2019 1</u> 1:02 AM	
To: Ryan, Ja		<pre>@bp.com&gt;; Ellis, Joe &lt;</pre>
,	@bp.com>	
Cc: Clanton	•	
Subject: RE	: Energy Innovation and Carbon Dividend Act	
I think only	background for this one	Redacted - First Amendment

I still think this would be useful to do. Clearly he is trying to get a full picture of what is going on. He does seem to be looking for a more conservative/pragmatic explanation to why an oil and gas company would support a carbon tax. I don't know how much opportunity we will get to explain that to a friendly-ish publication like National Journal

Cc: Clanton, Brett < pbp.com>

Subject: RE: Energy Innovation and Carbon Dividend Act

Good morning all,

Here is some additional information from the National Journal reporter. Let me know how far we'd want to go on the record with any of these or if we just want to stick with a background call – no reference to BP or anyone by name – but appearing in text as as an industry source or an industry lobbyist. He has agreed to having a call on background. If we don't want to take a position on the bill we don't need to, happy to discuss this morning.

- What is BP's position on the Deutch bill? Is the regulatory freeze language an adequate trade-off for a carbon tax?
- Are we generally interested in supporting a tax, so long as it's paired with adequate trade-offs?
- Is BP working with the Climate Leadership Council on legislation?
- How important is tort reform in this debate?
- Are we discussing legislation with environmental groups?

Best, Jason

Jason R	yan
---------	-----

BP America Inc. | Director, U.S. Media Affairs
office:

BP America |

From: Nolan, James < bp.com > Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2019 2:18 PM

To: Ryan, Jason < @bp.com>; Ellis, Joe @bp.com>; Streett, Mary

Magallanes, Downey < <u>@bp.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Energy Innovation and Carbon Dividend Act

## **Redacted - First Amendment**

From: Ryan, Jason < <u>@bp.com</u>>
Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2019 12:55 PM

To: Ellis, Joe < @bp.com>; Streett, Mary < @bp.com>; Magallanes, Downey

@bp.com>; Nolan, James

Subject: RE: Energy Innovation and Carbon Dividend Act

Mary, Joe, Downey and Jim,

The reporter is interested in this part of the legislation about not enforcing rules of GHG if there is a carbon fee Redacted - First Amendment I'd like to understand this better before engaging further with the reporter.
Downey or Jim, are you free this afternoon?
Link to full bill: https://www.congress.gov/116/bills/hr763/BILLS-116hr763ih.pdf
SUSPENSION OF REGULATION OF FUELS AND 14 EMISSIONS BASED ON GREENHOUSE GAS EFFECTS. 16 "(a) FUELS.— Unless specifically authorized in section 202, 211, 213, or 231 or this section, if a carbon fee is imposed by section 9902 or 9908 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 with respect to a covered fuel, the Administrator shall not enforce any rule limiting the emission of greenhouse gases from the combustion of that fuel under this Act (or impose any requirement on any State to limit such emission) on the basis of the emission's greenhouse gas effects.
Best, Jason
From: Ryan, Jason  Sent: Wednesday, April 17, 2019 5:16 PM  To: Ellis, Joe <
I emailed the reporter to get some more details from him. I'll speak with him in the morning and we can plan out the best path forward if we want to speak with him on background.
Best,
Jason
Jason Ryan
BP America Inc.   Director, U.S. Media Affairs  office:  BP America   Dbp.com
From: Ellis, Joe < box 20 bp.com >  Sent: Wednesday, April 17, 2019 4:55 PM  To: Streett, Mary < @bp.com >; Magallanes, Downey < @bp.com >; Nolan, James  @bp.com >; Ryan, Jason @bp.com >  Subject: RE: Energy Innovation and Carbon Dividend Act
Yes. And Downey and Jim.
From: Streett, Mary <

Would it be good to talk to him off the record initially? (I think yes) then we can figure out if we go on the record or background? If you agree - who would we put him with? Downey? Jim? Both?

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Brian Dabbs < <a>@nationaljournal.com</a>>

Date: April 17, 2019 at 4:42:19 PM EDT

To:

Subject: Energy Innovation and Carbon Dividend Act

Mary,

How are you? I cover energy at National Journal.

I'm doing some coverage of this legislation now, and I see BP lobbied the bill last year.

I'm homing in on the near-future political dynamics at play here, particularly with the regulation suspension language (section 303). I'm also trying to determine precisely which EPA authorities would be suspended.

Is there a member of the government relations team that can chat with me about those issues?

Many thanks.

Best, Brian

--

Brian Dabbs
National Journal
Energy and Environment Reporter
@nationaljournal.com