

**Date:** Friday, February 12 2016 03:57 PM

**Subject:** RE: Natural gas blog post

**From:** Brett Barrus

**To:** Marty Durbin [REDACTED]; Erica Bowman [REDACTED]

**CC:** Amy Farrell [REDACTED]

EID's rebuttal to the Krupp op-ed.

**EDF contradicts own studies in methane misinformation push.** In a recent [column](#) in the *Wall Street Journal*, Fred Krupp, president of the Environmental Defense Fund (EDF), used the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility incident to argue that methane emissions are rampant and that more regulations on the oil and gas industry are needed. As EID points out [on the blog](#), he does this even though numerous studies conducted by EDF itself have shown that methane emissions across the entire natural gas system are easily low enough for natural gas to have a significant climate advantage. Further, it's thanks to fracking and the increased use of natural gas that the United States has dramatically reduced greenhouse gas emissions. EID has the full rebuttal [here](#).

**From:** Marty Durbin

**Sent:** Thursday, February 04, 2016 12:00 PM

**To:** Erica Bowman

**Cc:** Brett Barrus; Amy Farrell

**Subject:** Re: Natural gas blog post

**Redacted**

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 4, 2016, at 10:52 AM, Erica Bowman [REDACTED] wrote:

**Redacted**

**From:** Marty Durbin

**Sent:** Thursday, February 04, 2016 7:01 AM

**To:** Brett Barrus

**Cc:** Amy Farrell; Erica Bowman

**Subject:** Fwd: Natural gas blog post

**Redacted**

Marty

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Clinton Manning [REDACTED]

**Date:** February 3, 2016 at 2:56:34 PM CST

**To:** Marty Durbin [REDACTED]

**Cc:** Mark Green [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Natural gas blog post

**Redacted**

Clinton S. Manning  
SENIOR COMMUNICATIONS ADVISOR | AMERICAN PETROLEUM INSTITUTE  
1220 L. STREET NW | WASHINGTON, DC 20005 | [REDACTED] **Redacted - Privacy**

<Marty Durbin Blog Post Natural Gas4 eb.docx>