	G	Н		J	K	L	М	Ν	0
1									
2									
3									
4	(3)	(2)	=(1)/8	(3)		(4)=(3)-(1)		(5)=(4)/4	
5									
6	Variance		TD Avg	Nov LE	%		%	Avg Monthly	%
7	versus LE	Мо	Spend	Budget	Sales	TO-GO	Sales	Spend TO-GO	Sales
8									
9	\$ 306,429	\$	227,214	#REF!		#REF!		#REF!	
10									
11	(12,084)		(4,424)	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!
12	(10,986)		(5,985)	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!
13	(19,008)		(29,865)	# <b>REF</b> !	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	# <b>RE</b> F!	#REF!
14									
15	264,351		186,941	#REF!		#REF!		#REF!	
16	at a								_
17			948	# <b>REF</b> !		# <b>REF</b> !		# <b>REF</b> !	
18	aus								
19	269,622		187,889	#REF!		#REF!		#REF!	
20									_
21	(12,934)		(13,079)	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!
22	856		1,188	#REF!		#REF!		#REF!	
23	2,930		(800)	# <b>REF</b> !		#REF!		#REF!	
24	810		(790)	# <b>REF</b> !	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!
25									_
26	261,284	~	174,407	#REF!		#REF!		#REF!	
27									
28	(3,539)		(11,270)	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!
29	50		(5,453)	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	# <b>REF</b> !	#REF!
30	(3,754)		(11,855)	# <b>REF</b> !	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	# <b>REF</b> !	#REF!
31	(7,552)		(14, 272)	# <b>REF</b> !	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	# <b>REF</b> !	#REF!
32	(16,281)		(5,779)	#REF!		#REF!		#REF!	
33	(31,076)		(48,629)	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!
34									

	 G	H		J	K	L	М	Ν	0
35	-		-		-	-			-
36									
37	230,207		125,779	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!
38									
39	(214)		(2,632)	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!
40	(1,244)		190	#REF!		#REF!		#REF!	
41	(7,817)		(980)	#REF!		#REF!		#REF!	
42	(9,276)		(3,421)	#REF!		#REF!		#REF!	
43									
44	220,932		122,357	#REF!		#REF!		#REF!	
45									
46									
47	1,277		(203)	#REF!		#REF!		#REF!	
48	11,778		5,935	#REF!		#REF!		#REF!	
49	8,963		(10,856)	#REF!		#REF!		# <b>REF</b> !	
50	-		(487)	#REF!		#REF!		# <b>REF</b> !	
51	(145)		(148)	#REF!		#REF!		#REF!	
52	(132)	<u> </u>	164	#REF!		#REF!		#REF!	
53	21,741		(5,595)	#REF!		#REF!		#REF!	
54									
55	242,673		116,762	#REF!		#REF!		#REF!	
56	(351)		(44)		2		51		88
57	\$ 242,322	5	5 116,718	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!
58									
59									
60									
61									
62									
63									

	P (	R ג	S	Т	U	V	W	Х	Y
1									
2									
3									
4	(6)=(5)-(2)								
5					Full Ye	ar			
6	Variance of		%		%	2008	%	2007	%
7	Monthly Spend	2009 LE	Sales	Budget	Sales	(Audited)	Sales	(Audited)	Sales
8							-		
9	#REF!	\$ 2,880,665	1	\$ 3,449,813	1	\$ 2,559,475		\$ 1,194,988	
10									
11	# <b>REF</b> !	(50,943)	1.8%	(59,853)	1.7%	(38,987)	1.5%	(24,970)	2.1%
12	$\# \mathbf{REF}!$	(235,056)	8.2%	(108, 328)	3.1%	(78,774)	3.1%	(41, 841)	3.5%
13	$\# \mathbf{REF}!$	(465,502)	16.2%	(574,211)	16.6%	(342,153)	13.4%	(148,693)	12.4%
14									
15	#REF!	2,129,164		2,707,421		2,099,560	_	979,484	
16									
17	$\#\mathbf{REF}!$	4,886		4,886		5,872		53,525	
18									
19	#REF!	2,134,050		2,712,307		2,105,432	<u>.</u>	1,033,009	
20									
21	$\# \mathbf{REF}!$	(169,061)	5.9%	(187,049)	5.4%	(150, 545)	5.9%	(101,603)	8.5%
22	$\#\mathbf{REF}!$	14,008		12,015		12,256		26,616	
23	$\# \mathbf{REF}!$	(15,897)		(86,081)		-		-	
24	$\# \mathbf{REF}!$	(12,047)	0.4%	(14,084)	0.4%	(10,255)	0.4%	(8,411)	0.7%
25									
26	#REF!	1,951,053		2,437,109		1,956,888	_	949,611	
27									
28	# <b>REF</b> !	(145,839)	6.8%	(136,664)	5.0%	(125,141)	6.0%	(114,136)	11.7%
29	$\# \mathbf{REF}!$	(75,806)	3.6%	(69,334)	2.6%	(78,009)	3.7%	(64,348)	6.6%
30	$\# \mathbf{REF}!$	(176,934)	8.3%	(190,295)	7.0%	(120, 449)	5.7%	(107,450)	11.0%
31	$\# \mathbf{REF}!$	(195,557)	9.2%	(203,516)	7.5%	(148,999)	7.1%	(116,071)	11.9%
32	# <b>REF</b> !	(44,132)		(78,897)		(22,901)		(11,854)	
33	#REF!	(638,267)	30.0%	(678,706)	25.1%	(495,499)	23.6%	(413,858)	42.3%
34									

	Р	Q	R	S	Т	U	V	W	Х	Y
35	-		-		-		-		10,049	
36										
37	#REF!		1,312,786	61.7%	1,758,403	64.9%	1,461,389	69.6%	545,803	55.7%
38										
39	# <b>REF</b> !		(35,205)	1.7%	(35,205)	1.3%	(30,771)	1.5%	(42,554)	4.3%
40	# <b>REF</b> !		8,842		8,842		11,714		33,820	
41	# <b>REF</b> !		(1,000)		-		(44,859)		(1,930)	
42	#REF!		(27,363)		(26,363)		(63,915)		(10,664)	
43										
44	#REF!		1,285,423		1,732,040		1,397,474		535,138	
45										
46										
47	# <b>REF</b> !		(6,426)		(6,375)		(2,414)		(4,303)	
48	$\# \mathbf{REF}!$		62,939		76,137		71,469		65,023	
49	$\# \mathbf{REF}!$		(154,968)		(122,716)		(126,265)		(6,846)	
50	$\# \mathbf{REF!}$		(4,384)		-		(570)		(652)	
51	# <b>REF</b> !		(1,776)		(1,776)		(1,643)		(1,372)	
52	# <b>REF</b> !		2,406		4,000		15,640		33,414	
53	#REF!		(102,210)		(50,731)		(43,782)		85,264	
54								-		
55	#REF!		1,183,213		1,681,309		1,353,691		620,402	
56		32	-		-		(3,338)		(3,242)	
57	#REF!		\$ 1,183,213	55.6% \$	1,681,309	62.1%	\$ 1,350,353	64.3% \$	617,161	63.0%
58										
59										
60			\$ 1,213,817	\$	1,709,021	1	\$ 1,367,359	\$	615,722	
61										
62										
63										

-															
	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	Ν	0
1	2011 L	atest E	stimate	/ 2012	Budget	Propos	sal								
2	Assumpti	ons													
3															
4	ľ														
5	Balance S	<u>heet Assu</u>	<u>mptions</u>												
6	ſ														
7			do not refle												
8			cludes \$152				-						king with th	<u>ne trade to r</u>	reduce
9	3) There in	s \$9 mm r	eceivable fr	om Laboph	harma/J&J	at 12/31/20	010 which i		served and	not collect	ed in 2010.				
10								Redacted						.,	
11			ed Infinity wi												
			being accou			•			• •						
			of Summer		seholds of	\$11.6 mm,	and (c) fit o	out of \$10.8	3 mm for O	SF. We ha	ve NOT as:	sumed any	upside rela	ated to a po	ossible
13	agreement	t with Bene	enson and (	GEAM.											
14															
15	l														
															Q1 2008 thr
					<u> </u>										24 2009 (no
							udget. The	ese items a	re highly va	ariable with	stock mark	ket returns	and chang	e in discour	nt rates. An
19	movement	s are non	cash and h	ave not be	en re-proje	cted.									

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 pugh 17 read		
13 14 15 16 pugh		Р
13 14 15 16 pugh	1	
13 14 15 16 pugh	2	
13 14 15 16 pugh	3	
13 14 15 16 pugh	4	
13 14 15 16 pugh	5	
13 14 15 16 pugh	6	
13 14 15 16 pugh	7	
13 14 15 16 pugh	8	
13 14 15 16 pugh	9	
13 14 15 16 pugh	10	
13 14 15 16 pugh	11	
13 14 15 16 pugh 17 ; paid).	12	
14 15 16 bugh 17 : paid).	13	
15 16 pugh 17 : paid).	14	
16 bugh 17 : paid).	15	
17 . paid).	16	bugh
and an and a second sec	17	paid).
18	18	
17, paid). 18 19	19	

	А
	R&D Budget Roll
1	
2	2011 November L
2 3 4	(\$ millions)
4	
5	
6	
ŗ	
8	Changes to Pro
9	
10	OxyContin:
11	Clinical
12	
13	
14	
15	Nonclinical
16	Tech Transfer
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	Targin (ONU) Clinical
22 23	Clinical
23	
24	
25	
26	Nonclinical
27	
28	
29	<u>Butrans:</u>
30	Clinical
31	
32	
33	
10000	

	А
1	R&D Budget Roll
	2011 November L
2 3 4	(\$ millions)
3	(\$ 1111110FTS)
4 5	
34	
35	Nonclinical
36	Tech Transfer
	recir transier
37 38	
39	Llydrocodono
40	<u>Hydrocodone</u> Clinical
40	
41	
42	
43	
44 45	
40	Nonclinical
40	Tech Transfer
48	
49	
50	POA
51	Clinical
52	Nonclinical
53	
54	
55	Ryzolt
56	Clinical
57	
58	
59	TRPV1
60	Clinical
61	
62	

	A
1	R&D Budget Roll
	2011 November L
3	(\$ millions)
4	(+ 000000)
2 3 4 5	
63	OAG
64	Clinical
65	Discovery
66	
67	
68	<u>FAAH</u>
	Clinical
69	
70	
71	
72	TOTAL CHANGE IN P
73	
74	Changes to depa
75	
	Discovery
76	
77	
	Clinical
78	
79	
80	
81	Medical
82	
~ ~	
83	
84	
85	Liss Hills Dis Barris
86	Health Policy
87	
88	
89	
90	

	A
1	R&D Budget Roll
2	2011 November L
2 3 4	(\$ millions)
5	
92	
93	Drug Safety
94	
95	
96	
97	Risk Mgmnt
98	
99	Regulatory
100	
101	
102	
103	Project Management
104	
105	TOTAL CHANGE IN D
106	
107	
108	
109	
110	GRAND TOTAL C
111	
112	Note 1 - if amouny is zero

	В
1	forward Detail
	E
2	
2 3 4 5	
5	
6	
	hingt Budgate
8	oject Budgets
9	
10	
11	Additional costs of consultants, medical liaisons, investigator meeting and web sites / videos to identify sites no phance recruitment.
12	New 12 month Open label (OTR 3002) extension study offered to pediatric subjects who complete the trian 1. Judy, to help drive enrollment.
13	Impact of cost shift from 2010 to 2011, additional costs associated with additional sites and patient
15	Primarily due to additional costs for additional international sites and patients (\$1.4 mm, epiced supply (\$3.0 mm) and higher packaging costs (\$1.5 mm). Savings due to a decision to initially qualify an alternate PEO supplier in only the Dirubic of blender (smaller batch sizes).
17	Savings due to a decision to initially quality an alternate PEO supplier in only left to bid biender (smaller batch sizes).
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	
19	
20	
21	
22	Accelerate ISS work from future years the idinacon bilation of data from European studies.
23	Separate study requested by FDA to under tand usual potential of solid oral dosage form (ONU1007).
	Delay in initiation of phase 3 program pending FDA review and comments. Budget assumed FPFV in Q1 2011. Mid year budget update assumes FPFV ONU3001
24	in July 2011, ONU3004 and ONU3005 in  Q4 2011.
25	
	2010 carryover of tox/bioanalysis due to delays (\$1.6 mm) and resupply of ONU3701 supplies due to expiration (\$1.4 mm).
26	
27	
28 29	
29	
30	BUP1024 - CYP3A4 study added to program to support higher strength development/registration. Drug-drug interaction study during coadministration of Butrans and ketoconazole in healthy subjects.
31	Higher strength phase 3 studies delayed pending approval of label for existing strengths, consultation with external cardiac safety experts and FDA feedback. Mid- year budget update assumes favorable outcome of June 30 Type A meeting with FDA and FPFV November 2011.
31 32 33	FDA requested additional pediatric study of neonatal abstinence syndrome (BUP3032).
33	Additional rounds of formulation development required for 2nd generation Butrans shifts FPFV in Pilot PK to October 2011.

	В
1	forward Detail
2	E
2 3 4 5 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	
4	
5	
34	
35	Carryover of additional strength packaging plus increased cost for pediatric studies.
36	Increased analytical methods required in West Caldwell transfer as a result of FDA NDA approval commitments.
37	
38	
39	
40	FDA advised that a single blinded efficacy study would be sufficient for a 505(b)2 approval. HYD 3001 study eliminated.
41	Extension studies cancelled
42	Phase 3b study deferred to future years
43	Bids on phase 3 program lower than budget in addition to delay in initiation of phase 3 studies by approximately one quarter
44	Delay in initiation of the clinical pharmacology studies and abuse liability studies to 2012
40	2010 carryover of phase 3 clinical packaging (\$3.1 mm), partially offset by delayed tamper testing to 2012 (\$2.0 mm)
40	Clinical supply cost favorability due to manufacturing in larger batch sizes.
48	Chinical supply cost ravorability due to manufacturing innarger batter sizes.
49	
50	
51	Program recommended for cancellation. 2011 budget \$18.0 mm. Mid year budget assumes close out costs only of \$4.4 mm.
52	Recommended for cancellation (\$3.1 mm tox/bioanalysis, \$1.1 mm API)
53	
54	
55	
56	\$1 mm placeholder in 2011 for initiating a pediatric program. Total project costs estimated to be \$5 - 6 mm.
57	
41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	
59	
60	Costs associated with timeline shifts and study cost variances from estimate.
61	
62	
~~	

		В
_ 1	forward Detail	
2	E	
3		
4		
5		
2 3 4 5 63 64 65 66 67 68		
64	First in man studies delayed due	to preclinical results.
65	Milestone payment for IND filing e	expected in Q4 2011.
66		
67		
68		
		AAH opt in. Estimated costs included in the 2011 Budget Update are the Infinity development costs to complete ongoing activites
		d the total cost estimate for Purdue to initiate proof of concept study.
70 71		
72	ROJECT BUDGETS	
	rtment budgets not pro	lect related
75		
	Board approved costs related to	
10	on conducting studies in-house	
77	Phormaco gonomico - aktoinin	
	Pharmacogenomics - obtaining	
70	project plan is \$4 mm over next	
78 79 80		
	Medidata Rave - a hosted syste	
	I3 / Butrans Manuscripts - am	
	PhlexGlobal - provides a qualit	
83	Purdue and our CROs.	
84	Staff Extenders/consulting to	
	Staff Extenders/consulting to	
86	Medical Liaisons - National Bo	
-	Medical Liaisons - 3 Contract I	
	Medical Education - Vision Tra	UPDATE
	Library - Staff extender to cover	
-	Medical Services - Denver Hea	
1000 C 1000 C	Health Policy - funding request	
91	that can not be absorbed in curr	

	В
	forward Detail
2 3 4 5 92	E.
3	
4	
5	
92	
93	Additional cost for Ryzolt global
	2 headcount in product complair
94	adverse event volume has of ~9
95	ORF/BUP - Kendle unbudgeted
96	ORF/BUP - Kendle unbudgeted Budget increase in 5 digit zip co
97	Budget increase in 5 digit zip co
98	
-	Two additional headcount - one
	Submission metrics software pa
	FDA submission processing incl
102	
-	Staff Extenders - additional per
104	
	EPARTMENT BUDGETS
106	
107	
	Allowance for program delays reduced from \$61.2 mm to \$35.0 mm
109	
	HANGE IN R&D BUDGET
111	
112	, this means that the impact on 2011 budget is timing only ie. a shift in spend between years only

	С	D	E
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			se / (decrease)
6		Impact on Current Year Budget	Change in Costs to Purdue over Life of Project (Note 1)
8			
9 10			
11	13.2		13.2
12	6.4		18.2
13	4.7		7.1
14		24.3	
15		6.3	6.3
16 17		(2.6)	(3.2)
18		28.0	41.6
19			
20			
21			
22	3.9		
23	1.4 (12.4)		<u> </u>
24	(12.4)		7.0
25		(7.2)	
26		3.1	1.4
27		(4.1)	10.4
28			
29			
	1.4		2.3
30			
	(14.9)		2.0
31			
32	1.5		6.4
33	(2.2)		-

	С	D	Е
1			
2			
3			
4	~		
5			se / (decrease)
34		(14.2)	
35		2.6	1.0
36		0.2 (11.4)	0.2 11.9
37		(11.4)	11.9
38			
39 40	(19.7)		(27.5)
41	(4.8)		(27.5) (24.0)
42	(4.0)		(2 1.0)
43	(23.3)		(15.0)
44	(8.0)		
45		(59.8)	
46		1.1	
47		(1.3) (60.0)	(1.3) (67.8)
48		(60.0)	(67.8)
49			
50		(40.0)	
51 52		(13.6)	(159.5)
52		(4.2) (17.8)	(21.0) (180.5)
54		(17.0)	(100.0)
55			
56		1.0	6.0
57			
58			
59			
60		1.6	1.0
61			
62			

	С	D	E
1			
2			
3			
4 5			
5		Increa	se / (decrease)
63			
64		(1.5)	
65		(1.5) (3.0)	
66 67		(3.0)	
68			
00		13.6	166.2
69			
70			
71			
72		(52.1)	(11.2)
73			
74			
75			
		0.6	
76			
77			
		1.3	4.0
78			
79 80			
81		2.2	4.2
82		0.8	0.8
		1.2	4.2
83			
84		1.4	1.4
85		0.0	
86		1.0	
87		0.5	
88 89		0.1 0.2	
90		(0.6)	
20		0.1	
91		town of the	

	С	D	E
1			
2			
3			
4			
5		Increa	se / (decrease)
92			
93		0.3	
		0.3	
94		~ -	
95		3.5	
96			
97		0.9	
98		0.2	
99		0.2 0.2	
100 101		0.2	
102		0.2	
103		0.4	
104		<u>.</u>	
105		14.7	14.6
106			
107			
108		26.2	
109			
110		\$ (11.2)	3.4
111			
112			

	А	В	С		D	E	F	G	Н	
1	Purdue G&A Finance	cial Upda	ate- L	ates	st Esti	mate				
2			ſ							
3										(Decreas
	2011 Mid Year Update		*							Decrease
98										
99			ſ						1	
_	General Under spend	fa II ar a dar an			¢	0				
	(1) Haircut is made up of the	tollowing:			<u>\$</u> (\$500					
	Public Affairs - Consulting					-		•	projects and shared OTC marketing	g costs
	Public Affairs - Special Promo	otion				•		· · ·	advocacy support	
, a seu n	Pharma Fund					F.U.	ary Pharma			
105						50 St.		itions and o	ther expenses	
106						) Overall fa	•			
	Fleet						ngs in dispo			
	Executive Admin						consulting r	eserve bud	geted at \$2mm (give back \$1.5 mr	n; \$0 spent YTD
	Jon's number				<u>(\$1,000</u>	2				
110			2		(\$4,800					
-	Finance	Delayed hi	-			S				89
112		Additional								(100)
113			-		port Data	basics exp	ense system	rollout		(50)
114		Reduced I	T consu	lting						100
115		Other								39
116										
117										
118		Open posit				g				(400)
119		Lower trave			hiring					(108)
120		Lower outs								(132)
121			ware m	ainter	hance du	e to lower t	han plannec	l computer e	equipment purchases	(334)
122		All other								13
123 124										
	Corporate Procurement	Varianaa ir		nrimo	rilu duo ta		at of pro pro	foccional in	 torp (\$20,120), pot of 2011	15
125		increases l					st of pre-pro	iessional in	tern (\$29,120), net of 2011	15
120			0			0	and full year	evnectation		(31)
127		No plans to				runnales	ana iun year	chpeolation		(51)
120	1					of cost of co	ontract staff			27
130				,						
131										
	Insurance	Reduction	in prope	erty pi	remiums-	lower nego	otiated rates			(103)

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	
1	Purdue G&A Finan	cial Upda	te-Late	est Esti	mate				
2									
3									(Decrease
133		Reduction i	n Crime pr	remiums- la	wer negoti	ated rates			(38)
134		Internationa	al clinical tr	ial insuranc	ce, budgete	d in R&D ir	n mid year up	odate	150
135		All other							13
136									
137	IPAP	Lourar potio	nt nonulat	ion and no		ationto not	ot opticipata	d levels	(000)
130			nt populat	ion and nev	w Buttans p	atients not	at anticipate		(900)
140		Reduced tra	aining						(30)
141			annig						(00)
	EHS	Reduced n	eed for offi	ce and safe	ety supplies				(42)
143									`´
144	<u>Admin Services</u>	No change							-
145									
	Stamford Facilities	Rent OPEX	•						84
147		Re-negotita	ted electri	city supplie	r contract w	ith Hess			(160)
148								1	
149		Adiustrant	for Foreig	n avahang	- aradita far	non LIC los	nal faca		(100)
150	<u>Internal Lega</u> l	Adjustment	•	~			s and emplo	 /// turnever	(120) (130)
152								transition project	(78)
153		regoliated	additional		n storage v				(10)
154									
155	<u>External affairs</u>								
156		Reduction i	n consultir	ng spend				1	(100)
157	<u>Fed Govt Affairs:</u>	Lower than			RMA dues	payments			(50)
158	<u>State Govt Affairs:</u>	Recruiting f			<u>.</u>				(20)
159		Net Healthc	are Allianc	ce Developi	ment dues/	program sp	end reductio	ns upon portfolio review	(73)
160									
161									
162	DEA Compliance	No change							-
	Pharma Fund	Public Affai	rs related (	arant diveb	acke				(265)
165			is related (	grant giveb	uono				(200)
	Business Development	No change						1	_
167									
-	Corporate Compliance	Adjustment	to transfe	r costs of 3	call note re	viewer posi	tions from le	gal	210
169		Consulting							150

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I
1	Purdue G&A Financ	ial Upda	te-Late	est Esti	mate				
2									
3									(Decrease
170									<u>.</u>
171									
	Security	No change	0						
173									
	Quality				s/temp help	spend			(100)
175		Lower trave	el and traini	ng					(75)
176									
177		Denstan		d Description		D	and an and the second second	and at at at a constituent	(4, 707)
	Executive Admin						iginally bud	geted at \$1.9 million	(1,797)
179 180					stitution pay				1,902 (325)
181		All other	cruiting lee	s for Chief	Legal Office				(325) (331)
182		All other							(551)
183									
	Employee Benefits	Allocations	of benefits	to departm	nents is und	er budget di	ue to lower	headcount (open positions).	2,421
185						*		nsive relocations than planned.	1,161
186		Adjustmen	t for FAS87	, FAS106	and FAS11	2, primarily o	due to impa	ct of favorable 2010 asset returns	(2,354)
187		on pension	plan						6° 10 000
188		All other							170
189									
190									
	Fleet				ning favorat	ole to budge	t		(200)
192		Liability cla	ims running	g low					(200)
193 194									
	General Under spend	Doduction	in Einanaa	octimata of	gonoral un	dor enand a	oroco oll oro	eas of G&A from \$4.8 mm in Mid	
195	General Onder Spenu	Year Updat			-	uer spenu ar	uruss all die		
190	1	rear opua	ιο το φ2.7 Π	nin in latesi	( countate)			1	
198									
199	Total Rollforward Over / (Ur	nder) budae	et						
200	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
201	2011 Latest Estimate								

	J
1	
1 2 3 97	
3	e) / Increase
97	\$ 151,340
98 99	
100	
101	
102	
103	
104	
105	
106	
107	
108	
109 110	
111 112	
113	
114	
115	
116	\$ 78
117	
118	
119 120	
121	
122	
123	(961)
124	
125	
126 127	
128	
129	
130	(44)
131	
132	